

3.6.2023 SHOW NOTES:

ARE WE ALREADY IN WW III?

AND IS OUR GOVERNMENT FIGHTING FOR OUR ENEMY?

(All material is used for educational purposes only)

NOTE: I have tried to make sure that none of the stories in this collection are duplicates, but I am allowing for the possibility that I may have missed cross-over referencing.

FURTHERMORE: Many of the articles I have posted here have video links imbedded in them that did not copy over to this page. You might want to reference the original sources for further investigation.

THE FEDERALIST

As Long As Communist China Controls The World Health Organization, It's Completely Unreliable

By: [Ben Weingarten](#) **March 31, 2020**

With each passing day, it becomes clear that the world's most prominent global health institution, the United Nations' World Health Organization (WHO), has been [captured](#) by communist China. This is particularly disastrous because in matters of life and limb, politicization of any kind can kill.

The WHO's [peddling of narratives](#) from the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) as the pandemic mushroomed — including denying human-to-human transmission and calling it racist to focus on the Chinese origin of the virus — undoubtedly contributed to the spread of the virus. It's been mimicked by [health officials](#), the media, and [politicians](#). Yet this toxic relationship continues unabated.

WHO China Mission Leader Kowtows to the CCP

For the latest indicator of WHO fealty to the CCP, look no further than this breathtaking clip from an [interview](#) between Radio Television Hong Kong journalist Yvonne Tong and Dr. Bruce Aylward, leader of the WHO's February 2020 joint international mission to China regarding coronavirus:

!!WOW!! Bruce Aylward/@WHO did an interview with HK's @rthk_news & when asked about #Taiwan he pretended not to hear the question. The journalist asks again & he hangs up!

She calls back & he said "Well, we've already talked about China."

ENJOY+SHARE THE MADNESS! #CoronaVirus pic.twitter.com/jgpHRVHjNX

— UAHong Kong World City 🌏 (@HKWORLD CITY) [March 28, 2020](#)

First, Aylward was apparently unwilling to address a question about Taiwan's WHO membership, acting as if he did not hear it, and then asked to move to another question. When Tong asked a follow-up question about Taiwan's response to the

Chinese coronavirus, Aylward seemingly ended the interview. Upon reconnecting, when Tong again posed the question, Aylward's response proved dumbfounding.

"I just want to see if you can comment a bit on how Taiwan has done so far in terms of containing the virus," Tong prompted, to which Aylward replied, "Well, we've already talked about China," clearly indicating his endorsement of the CCP's view of "One China." That is, he was implying Taiwan is part and parcel of Xi Jinping and the CCP's China, presumably under its sovereignty. At a minimum, it would likely be perceived this way — and surely to the delight of the CCP.

This is a disgrace, although the WHO does not err alone with respect to Taiwan. Much of the free world has sold out Taiwan for relations with the CCP. Even the United States [sacrificed](#) official relations with Taiwan to normalize relations with China in 1979. But America retains [robust](#) unofficial diplomatic, military, and economic ties to Taiwan, takes no position on its [sovereignty](#), and sells it the weapons needed to defend itself against Chinese aggression.

When Aylward added, "When you look across all the different areas of China, they've actually all done quite a good job," he provided the cherry on top for communist China.

The WHO Parrots CCP Talking Points

After viewing this exchange, I reached out to the WHO to ask two simple questions: 1) Does the video accurately depict this portion of the conversation regarding Taiwan? 2) If so, does Aylward stand by his comments? The WHO did not dispute the account, nor did it clarify Aylward's comments.

Rather, a WHO spokesman, Christian Lindmeier, provided a non-response, which appears to have been the WHO's official [statement](#) on the interview, as it is posted verbatim in the WHO's newsroom under the anodyne title "Information sharing on COVID-19." He wrote, "The question of Taiwanese membership in WHO is up to WHO Member States, not WHO staff. However, WHO is working closely with all health authorities who are facing the current coronavirus pandemic, *including Taiwanese health experts*" (emphasis Lindmeier's).

The spokesman went on to list three points illustrating WHO's coordination with Taiwan, including noting Taiwanese participation at a February WHO forum,

“alongside other world scientists, *including from mainland China*” (emphasis mine). It is not clear if the intent here in mentioning mainland China was to indicate its equivalence with Taiwan, or rather again that Taiwan is part of China. We do know that in connection with that conference, a WHO official [said](#), “We will have Taiwanese colleagues online, as we will have experts from *the rest of China* online.” (Emphasis mine)

WHO Commentary Is Indistinguishable From CCP Lies

The WHO included Taiwan after receiving a backlash from several members, including the United States, Canada, Japan, and the European Union, when in January 2020 Taiwan was [barred](#) from an emergency WHO conference on the coronavirus crisis in spite of extant cases there. Lindmeier concluded his statement, reiterating, “Membership in WHO and status issues are decided by Member States and the rules they set at WHO’s governing body, the World Health Assembly.”

The WHO has excluded Taiwan from the World Health Assembly for the last three years on account of [objections from China](#). Its exclusion is the price it pays for [refusing to accept](#) the “One China” principle, as understood by the CCP, which Aylward seemed to be affirming in the interview.

The world seems to have suffered for this. **Evidence suggests liberal Taiwan’s response to the coronavirus proved [vastly superior](#) to that of communist China.** This remarkable exchange between Tong and Aylward takes on new meaning when one discovers that Aylward has [gushed](#) over China’s response to the coronavirus crisis. Upon his return from the February 2020 joint mission, he remarked, “If I had COVID-19, I want to be treated in China.”

THE HERITAGE FOUNDATION

The World Health Organization Bows to China

[Brett D. Schaefer](#) Apr 28, 2020

Key Takeaways

The lack of transparency by Beijing directly enabled COVID-19 to spread.

The experience of China, the WHO, and COVID-19 should leave the world with no illusions. As the saying goes, crisis reveals character.

It has become abundantly clear in recent months that China has no interest in supporting the international system, even when such cooperation could have saved lives.

For decades, the United States and other Western nations encouraged China's integration into international organizations and the global economic order, reasoning that this would "normalize" China—that it would come to appreciate the value of observing international rules and norms and become freer economically and politically.

This perception had shifted dramatically in the U.S. over the past couple of years, but the recent experience with the coronavirus pandemic and the World Health Organization should leave everyone convinced that China is influencing the international system far more than the reverse, and that its influence is harmful. **It is now clear that Beijing's response to COVID-19 enabled its spread, to the detriment of public health and economies throughout the world.**

COVID-19 was first detected in Wuhan in late November or early December. Chinese authorities reacted by suppressing details of the disease and punishing doctors who tried to alert the public and the world. China did not inform the WHO about the virus until Dec. 31, weeks after it had detected the disease. Even after informing the WHO, Beijing [continued to suppress information](#) about human-to-human transmission of the disease.

The lack of transparency by Beijing directly enabled COVID-19 to spread. The mayor of Wuhan admitted that as many as 5 million Chinese had left the city before imposition of a lockdown, allowing infected individuals to travel abroad well into January. On Jan. 14, the WHO declared, “Preliminary investigations conducted by the Chinese authorities have found no clear evidence of human-to-human transmission.” A week later, on Jan. 22, the WHO reversed its position and confirmed that there was “evidence of human-to-human transmission.”

Despite this evidence, however, the WHO strongly opposed travel restrictions and, on Jan. 23, [tweeted](#): “For the moment, WHO does not recommend any broader restrictions on travel or trade. We recommend exit screening at airports as part of a comprehensive set of containment measures.” In February, after the U.S. imposed travel restrictions on China, WHO Director-General Tedros Adhanom Gebreyesus publicly chastised countries for adopting the policy.

It was not until mid-February, after weeks of negotiations with Beijing, that a WHO expert team was able to travel to Wuhan to examine the situation first-hand. By mid-February, 28 countries had COVID-19 cases, and deaths from the disease had surpassed the total from an earlier coronavirus, the 2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome, which had also originated in China.

There is no disputing the fact that China bears primary responsibility for the COVID-19 disaster besetting the world. As Nicholas Eberstadt of the American Enterprise Institute [observes](#), “Had the [Chinese Communist Party] placed its population’s health above its own—had it behaved like an open society or followed international transparency norms—there is no question that the global toll from the COVID-19 pandemic would only be a fraction of what has been exacted to date.” But China is not an open society, nor does it feel obliged to follow international norms. If it had felt such an obligation, it would have provided timely and transparent notification to the WHO about the potential outbreak and cooperated fully to assess the risk. These commitments are clear under the International Health Regulations, which were [amended in 2005 after China’s previous lack of cooperation and transparency](#) in the SARS outbreak.

Tedros has argued that the WHO needs to moderate its tone to obtain cooperation from Beijing. However, his comments go beyond moderation into obsequiousness, without noticeable gains in cooperation.

After visiting China in late January, Tedros announced that Beijing had set “ a new standard for outbreak control” and praised Chinese actions that “bought the world time, even though those steps have come at greater cost to China itself.” Beijing blocked a WHO expert team visit for weeks thereafter. Worse, the WHO may have facilitated the spread of the disease by ignoring a warning from Taiwan about human-to-human transmission in December because the WHO does not recognize Taiwan due to Chinese objections.

Such is the corrupting influence of China that the WHO holds it to a different standard than it does the organization’s biggest funder, the U.S. After all, the WHO felt free to criticize the U.S. government for calling COVID-19 a “ Chinese virus” and called on governments not to politicize the disease after President Trump criticized the organization’s credulousness in echoing Beijing. Meanwhile, China, Russia, and Iran orchestrate propaganda and disinformation campaigns asserting that the “novel coronavirus is an American bioweapon.”

COVID-19 has put the WHO front and center, but China also corrupts other parts of the United Nations system. Under Chinese leadership:

- The International Civil Aviation Organization has supported Chinese political priorities, even at the expense of the organization’s mission. This includes denying Taiwanese participation and concealing Chinese cyberespionage.
- The U.N. Industrial Development Organization has robustly endorsed China’s Belt and Road Initiative and provided funding and official commendation for China’s response to COVID-19.
- The International Telecommunication Union has provided a forum for proposals by China for a “radical change to the way the internet works ... which critics say will also bake authoritarianism into the architecture underpinning the web.”

In addition, there are numerous reports of Chinese officials intimidating U.N. staff in violation of the body's rules and harassing nongovernmental organizations critical of Chinese policies.

If there is one benefit to the disastrous COVID-19 pandemic, it’s that it has forced governments to confront the implications of Chinese influence in international organizations and its manipulative foreign policy. In recent weeks:

- The French foreign minister summoned the Chinese ambassador due to an outrageous blog post that “defended China’s handling of the health crisis and accused Western democracies of reckless behavior, including allegations that French health care workers left old people to die in nursing homes.”
- The United Kingdom is reportedly reconsidering its relationship with China, including its willingness to let China’s Huawei participate in the nation’s 5G network.
- African governments are outraged over the “treatment of African citizens living in China” and frustrated “at Beijing’s position on granting debt relief to fight against the outbreak.”
- China has attempted a public relations coup by publicizing shipments of medical equipment to countries hit by COVID-19, but it has boomeranged as country after country finds out that Chinese equipment is faulty or substandard.

The experience of China, the WHO, and COVID-19 should leave the world with no illusions. As the saying goes, crisis reveals character. It has become abundantly clear in recent months that China has no interest in supporting the international system, even when such cooperation could have saved lives around the globe. China wants one thing: to undermine, coopt, and reshape the international system to advance China’s interests. If Beijing succeeds, it will not only be the U.S. that suffers.

This piece originally appeared in the Washington Examiner

WASHINGTON EXAMINER

House GOP report says China cover-up and WHO failures worsened coronavirus pandemic

by [Jerry Dunleavy, Justice Department Reporter](#) | September 21, 2020 12:33 PM

STORY CONTAINS OFFICIAL GOVT. DOC. ON ORIGINS COVID-19 PDF

(I couldn't download it)

A new report from Republicans on the House Foreign Affairs Committee contends the Chinese Communist Party's alleged [coronavirus cover-up](#) and the World Health Organization's actions allowed the coronavirus outbreak to grow into the lengthy and deadly pandemic that persists to this day.

The 90-page [report](#), titled ***The Origins of the COVID-19 Global Pandemic, Including the Roles of the Chinese Communist Party and the World Health Organization***, is severely critical of both the Chinese government and the WHO's leadership, noting that "research shows the CCP could have reduced the number of cases in China by up to 95% had it fulfilled its obligations under international law and responded to the outbreak in a manner consistent with best practices" and asserting that "it is highly likely the ongoing pandemic could have been prevented" had China followed its obligations under the 2005 International Health Regulations and the WHO pushed China to be honest and transparent about the coronavirus.

"It is beyond doubt that the CCP actively engaged in a cover-up designed to obfuscate data, hide relevant public health information, and suppress doctors and journalists who attempted to warn the world. They deliberately, and repeatedly, disregarded their obligations under the 2005 IHR," the GOP report said. "Senior CCP leaders, including CCP General Secretary Xi Jinping, knew a pandemic was ongoing weeks before it was announced. By responding in a transparent and responsible manner, the CCP could have supported the global public health response and shared information with the world about how to handle the virus. It is likely the ongoing pandemic could have been prevented had they done so, saving hundreds of thousands of lives and the world from an economic meltdown."

The report continued: **“The WHO has repeatedly parroted CCP talking points while ignoring conflicting information from reputable sources. Director-General Tedros [Adhanom Ghebreyesus's] full-throated defense of the CCP’s early response and embrace of their revisionist history, as well as the impact of his actions on the global response, remains incredibly concerning.”** The WHO later [backtracked](#) on its assertion that it had been [alerted](#) by the Chinese government about the coronavirus outbreak in July.

Johns Hopkins University as of Monday morning [said](#) there have been more than 31.1 million confirmed coronavirus cases and more than 961,000 coronavirus deaths worldwide, including more than 6.8 million cases and over 199,000 deaths in the United States.

There is evidence that China knew by [late 2019](#) that human-to-human transmission was taking place, but on Jan. 14, the WHO [tweeted](#): “Chinese authorities have found no clear evidence of human-to-human transmission.” As Tedros and the WHO publicly praised China’s [response](#), internal recordings show WHO leaders privately complained about China’s opacity. **In April, the U.S. intelligence community [was reported to believe](#) the Chinese Communist Party downplayed the outbreak and that China continued to mislead the world.**

The GOP report highlights an ongoing controversy related to the WHO’s early response to the coronavirus outbreak, in which U.S. scientists were not part of the on-the-ground inquiry in Wuhan, China. The WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 was made up of 25 experts from China, Germany, Japan, Korea, Nigeria, Singapore, and the U.S. — specifically the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Institutes of Health. It arrived in Beijing on Feb. 16 for an investigation, which lasted until Feb. 24. A subgroup later described in [WHO’s report](#) as “select team members only” arrived in Wuhan on Feb. 22. Texas Rep. Michael McCaul asked Tedros for more details, but he “simply repeated the information provided by the Joint Mission in its public report.” The GOP congressional staff said the WHO initially “was unwilling to divulge which experts participated in the trip to Wuhan.” The Department of Health and Human Services told the GOP that “the Wuhan group consisted of three PRC scientists, the WHO delegation lead (a Canadian), the head of the Nigerian CDC, and an infection control expert from Germany.” It added that “the two Americans, who were willing to travel to Wuhan, were not selected for the trip” and “they are not aware of how the selections were made.”

The WHO eventually told the House Republicans that WHO team leader Bruce Aylward “spoke with the international members of the mission to determine and align on the most important issues to assess in Wuhan” and that “in consultation with the entire team,” Tim Eckmanns of the Robert Koch Institute and Chikwe Ihekweazu of the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control were determined to have “the most appropriate skill sets for this mission,” and thus, the U.S. scientists were excluded. The House Republicans pointed out that “there is clear conflict between HHS’s position that they are not aware as to how the selections for the Wuhan group were made, and the WHO’s statement that the entire team was consulted in the decision-making process.”

The House GOP investigation also obtained a previously unreported disciplinary notice dated Jan. 26 from the Chinese Communist Party’s Discipline Inspection Commission for Taizhou City Number Two People’s Hospital regarding nurse Li Min, who was punished for discussing the coronavirus outbreak with her classmates on WeChat. The notice also “includes a broader warning to the entire hospital” and “is clearly intended to suppress discussion of the outbreak on social media platforms.”

President Trump [announced](#) in July that the U.S. would be withdrawing from the WHO, telling Tedros in May that the WHO showed “an alarming lack of independence from the People’s Republic of China.” Former Vice President Joe Biden, the Democratic presidential nominee, [said](#) that “on my first day as president, I will rejoin the WHO and restore our leadership on the world stage.”

The House report called for Tedros to resign, the next WHO director-general to invite Taiwan to participate in the WHO, the U.S. to stay in the WHO, and the U.S. to help push the WHO to “fix the deficiencies” outlined by the Trump administration.

THE GUARDIAN

WHO calls on US to share information on Covid-19 origins after China lab claims

World Health Organization's director general says the politicisation of research into Covid's origins was making the scientific work harder

The [World Health Organization](#) has urged all countries to reveal what they know about the origins of Covid-19, after claims from several US government agencies that a Chinese lab leak was behind the disease were furiously denied by Beijing.

"If any country has information about the origins of the pandemic, it's essential for that information to be shared with WHO and the international scientific community," the WHO director general, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, said on Friday.

How seriously should we take the US DoE's Covid lab leak theory?

The FBI director, Christopher Wray, [told Fox News on Tuesday](#) that his agency had now assessed the source of the Covid-19 pandemic was **"most likely a potential lab incident in Wuhan"**.

The first infections from coronavirus were recorded in late 2019 in the Chinese city, which hosts a virus research laboratory. Chinese officials have denied the [FBI](#) claim, calling it a smear campaign against Beijing.

Tedros stressed that the WHO did not wish to apportion blame, but wanted to "advance our understanding of how this pandemic started so we can prevent, prepare for and respond to future epidemics and pandemics".

He said the politicisation of the origins research was making the scientific work harder and the world less safe as a result.

In 2021, the UN's health agency set up the Scientific Advisory Group for the Origins of Novel Pathogens (Sago) to look into the origins of the pandemic.

“WHO continues to call for China to be transparent in sharing data and to conduct the necessary investigations and share the results,” said Tedros, adding that he had written and spoken to top Chinese leaders on multiple occasions.

“Until then, all hypotheses on the origins of the virus remain on the table.”

The comments from Wray came after [a report earlier this week said the US Department of Energy](#) had determined that a Chinese lab leak was the most likely cause of the Covid-19 outbreak. However, this assessment was made with “low confidence”.

Other agencies within the US intelligence community believe the virus emerged naturally.

Maria Van Kerkhove, the WHO’s Covid-19 technical lead, said the organisation had reached out to the US mission in Geneva for more information.

So far, however, they did not have access to the data on which the US reports were based, said Van Kerkhove.

“It remains vital that that information is shared”, to help move the scientific studies forward, she added.

Tedros said there was a moral imperative to find out how the pandemic started, for the sake of the millions who lost their lives to Covid-19 and those living with long Covid.

More than 6.8m Covid-19 deaths and more than 758m confirmed cases have been recorded by the WHO. The organisation acknowledges that the true toll is far higher.

[NTD](#)

[Public Health Experts Weigh In on WHO Pandemic Treaty Amid Growing Support for COVID Lab Leak Theory](#)

By [Ryan Morgan](#) March 4, 2023

The World Health Organization (WHO) has come under renewed scrutiny in recent days over its handling of the [COVID-19](#) pandemic and its plans for addressing pandemics in the future.

This week, the World Health Assembly—the WHO’s decision-making body—began considering new ways to govern [international responses](#) to disease outbreaks. An early-stage draft before the Assembly includes both binding and non-binding language regarding how nations handle disease outbreaks internally, as well as how they coordinate with international partners. Article 15 of the draft document ([pdf](#)) specifically describes the WHO as the “directing and coordinating authority on international health work,” and says the WHO’s Director-General shall be the one to declare pandemics. The WHO’s draft document also calls for wealthier developed nations to reserve a percentage of the diagnostic tools and disease treatments they create to share with developing nations.

Some critics of the WHO’s proposed international pandemic response network have raised concerns that the system would require participating countries to cede a degree of their sovereignty, resources, and decision-making independence to a superseding global body.

“It [the WHO] funds a bureaucracy writ large: A new organization, a governing board, which would presumably be under WHO, requires countries to give a certain percentage of their health budgets to emergencies and sets up a supply network for the WHO,” said David Bell, a public health physician and former WHO staffer specializing in epidemic policy, in an interview with NTD News.

The WHO draft document says it “reaffirms” the sovereignty of participating nations, though the convention would require participating countries to contribute resources and funding in times of pandemics, while simultaneously giving the WHO the power to declare such pandemics.

The document defines a “pandemic” as “the global spread of a pathogen or variant that infects human populations with limited or no immunity through sustained and high transmissibility from person to person, overwhelming health systems with severe morbidity and high mortality, and causing social and economic disruptions, all of which require effective national and global collaboration and coordination for its control.”

The proposed treaty states that participating countries shall provide funding for the international pandemic response framework, and shall establish regulatory measures within their home countries to “accelerate the process of approving and licensing pandemic-related products for emergency use in a timely manner”—including waiving intellectual property rights on medical products that are developed to address pandemics.

Bell outlined his concerns that the WHO’s ability to declare pandemics and, thus, trigger the sharing of resources and funding would present an internal conflict of interest.

“[The global pandemic response proposals] put [pandemic response measures] in the hands of an individual and a bureaucracy whose sole function is to find threats and institute this,” Bell said. “So we’re putting a mechanism in place that will be self-perpetuating, and its sole role is to find and respond to emergencies and institute the poverty-increasing measures, the wealth-concentration measures, etc., that we’ve seen over the last three years.”

Distrust of WHO

The pandemic response treaty comes about as the WHO has been criticized heavily for its response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

During the initial COVID outbreak, then National Security Advisor Robert O’Brien alleged that China was slow to provide information about the risks posed by the virus. Furthermore, several weeks passed before a WHO team was allowed to enter the country. The WHO’s initial report on the outbreak, however, then praised the Chinese response—while making little mention of the Chinese regime’s efforts to suppress critics and whistleblowers who brought attention to the virus outbreak.

The World Health Assembly began debating the proposed pandemic response treaty in the same week that U.S. Department of Energy investigators [reported](#) that the COVID-19 outbreak likely began as a leak from a Chinese virology laboratory.

The WHO has been accused of helping to suppress indications that COVID-19 came from a lab leak. In a March 2021 report, the WHO [described](#) the [lab leak theory](#) as “extremely unlikely.” In July of 2021, however, WHO Director-General [Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus](#) [walked back](#) that assessment, saying it was “premature” to rule out the lab leak theory. Peter Ben Embarak, the WHO researcher who led the research effort in China, later [claimed](#) the Chinese regime pressured his team to dismiss the lab leak theory.

The WHO has a history of downplaying information that could reflect negatively on China, according to Dr. Rik Mehta, a health care law professor at Georgetown University and a former Republican Senate candidate in New Jersey.

“When COVID first started, there was this push to say, well, if you thought that it originated from China, and it was man-made, then you’re a conspiracy theorist. And if you say that it’s a ‘Wuhan virus’ or something related to China, then you’re a racist,” Mehta told NTD News. “And so now we know that that’s completely untangling and that there is a probability that it could have been man-made, made in a laboratory, and then leaked out of that laboratory subsequently.”

Mehta believes the lab leak theory is the most likely scenario for the origins of COVID-19.

“[COVID-19] is unlike any other viruses we’ve seen. Zika, monkeypox, Ebola [are] viruses that have been around for more than 50-plus years. COVID is something that’s brand new to the scientific community, to the public at large. And so it’s hard to argue that it was created in wet markets or animal-to-human transmission,” Mehta said. “And the likely prevailing theory was that it was created in the laboratory. Really the true question here is: Was it leaked accidentally? Or was it leaked on purpose?”

Mehta also urged caution against the WHO’s pandemic response agreement, given past problems with sharing information about the spread of viruses.

“Of course, there’s a level of interplay [where] countries need to work together and participate when you truly have a pandemic the size of COVID,” Mehta said. “That

being said, this cannot be taken lightly. Every country needs to protect their sovereignty: They cannot just give an unelected body, an international governmental organization that has no accountability to the people, and ceding that kind of authority that can then get into the lives of Americans.”

Mehta called for members of Congress to thoroughly review any WHO pandemic treaty before entering the United States into such an agreement.

Last month, Republican Senators introduced a [bill](#) that would bar U.S. participation in a WHO global pandemic response agreement without first gaining the approval of the U.S. Senate.

NTD News reached out to the WHO for comment but did not receive a response before this article was published.

THE EPOCH TIMES

WHO Aspires to Control and Manipulate People's Behavior in the Next Pandemic: Trevor Loudon

By [Ryan Morgan](#) and [Jan Jekielek](#) March 3, 2023 Updated: March 3, 2023

The World Health Organization (WHO) is dominated by communist and socialist sympathizers and is studying how to manipulate people's behavior, according to anti-communist activist, researcher, and EpochTV host [Trevor Loudon](#).

"The WHO has a behavioral modification unit," Loudon said in an interview with The Epoch Times on Thursday. Loudon explained that the behavioral modification unit means to "use psychology to manipulate people into following mandates, accept vaccines, etc."

He explained his misgivings about the WHO's mass-manipulation efforts, as well as his concerns that the organization is led by socialists, communists, and ideological allies of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP).

"[WHO Director-General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus] was a former leader of Ethiopia's pro-Chinese Communist Party, who got involved with the Chinese through the Belt and Road initiatives in Ethiopia," Loudon said. Ghebreyesus served as Ethiopia's health minister under Prime Minister Meles Zenawi, a leader of the communist Tigray People's Liberation Front (TPLF).

Loudon also pointed out the involvement of Susan Michie, a WHO official and a member of the Communist Party of Britain.

Michie is the chair of the WHO's Technical Advisory Group (TAG) on Behavioral Insights and Sciences for Health. TAG's [stated mission](#) involves "designing and implementing national health policies and programs informed by behavioral insights and sciences."

"[Michie] advised [former British Prime Minister Boris Johnson] and Britain during the British lockdowns and she was masks all the way—we're going to have to wear masks forever, maximum lockdowns, zero COVID. She was part of the zero-COVID

policy group, which was set up by the British Communist Party's Morning Star newspaper ... that's where she comes from," Loudon said.

Behavioral Modification

The WHO has expressed considerable interest in figuring out ways to overcome people's skepticism of public health recommendations.

"Providing evidence-based advice is central to WHO's mission, but for that advice to produce results and save lives, we need to better understand the biases and triggers that affect whether or not people act on it," Ghebreyesus said in a September 2020 [statement](#) announcing the formation of the TAG.

In addition to her position with the WHO, Michie is also on the Behavioral Science Policy Research Unit at the UK's National Institute for Health Research—which advises the United Kingdom's Department of Health and Social Care.

Loudon said Michie's past work in behavioral science has included work on smoking cessation programs in China, and that she had attended psychology conferences in Cuba.

"Her job is to monitor people's responses and manipulate people's responses for the next pandemic," Loudon said.

While Ghebreyesus described the TAG's effort as one to understand what causes people to oppose the WHO's health advice, Loudon accused the WHO of playing on people's fears to get them to embrace policies favored by the Chinese communist regime while ignoring other health advice.

"You've got to sell enough fear, have enough carrot, enough stick, to move people in the right direction to accept vaccines," Loudon said. "So you play on their social conscience."

Loudon said the WHO goal was to get people **"to adopt the policies demanded by the government, but ultimately by the CCP—the CCP is the originator of the zero-COVID policy. They were always against natural therapies, vitamin D, etc. They were always about vaccinations. They were always about lockdowns."**

NTD News reached out to the WHO for comment, but the organization did not specifically respond to the accusations raised by Loudon.

WHO's Relationship With China

The WHO has come under increased scrutiny following its response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

During the initial COVID outbreak, then National Security Advisor Robert O'Brien alleged that China was slow to provide information about the risks posed by the virus, and it took weeks before a WHO team was allowed to enter the country. However, the WHO's initial report then praised the Chinese response—while making little mention of the Chinese government's efforts to suppress critics and whistleblowers.

Loudon accused Ghebreyesus and the WHO of being “a tool of the Chinese Communist Party.”

“And now Susan Michie is going to be controlling the whole world, behaviorally, in the next pandemic,” he said. “The WHO has set policies in place to go around national sovereignty in every country, so that [Ghebreyesus] and Michie can basically dictate health policy to the whole planet in the event of a future health emergency.”

From [NTD](#)

DISCOVERY INSTITUTE

The WHO Pandemic Treaty Is a Back Door to CCP Control Over the US

Scott S. Powell February 27, 2023

America's enemies never stop scheming about the ways in which they can bring the United States down. China, our greatest external strategic enemy, already has a plan to gain control of America through the next pandemic and United Nations agency channels. The first stage of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) accomplishing this was gaining controlling influence over the U.N.'s World Health Organization (WHO), which was achieved when the Chinese groomed Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus as a candidate and then got him elected as Director-General of the WHO in 2017.

The WHO's misguided recommendations and harmful policy responses to COVID-19 included lockdowns and disruptions of holidays; school, church, synagogue, and business closures; social distancing and masking that retarded children's' social development—all of which led to economic contraction, bankruptcies, supply chain disruptions and inflation. That record doesn't enhance the WHO's trust factor, and its ability to deal with future health emergencies and pandemics. In fact, the CCP views the WHO's COVID-19 policy results in terms of power politics rather than health care results. The COVID-19 responses are part of a test run analysis for the greater medical tyranny they may impose with the next health emergency or pandemic.

The second stage of the CCP gaining control of America's health care policy is to forge an agreement between the WHO and its member states (including the U.S.) in which they all enter into a "legally binding" accord that would give the United Nations health agency the authority to dictate policies during a pandemic.

Admittedly, Biden may be compelled to turn over the sovereignty of U.S. health care policy to the China-controlled WHO because of the CCP's preponderant controlling influence of the Biden administration. We know from the sworn testimony of Tony Bobulinski, the former business partner of Hunter Biden, that the [Biden family has been "compromised" by Chinese money](#). We know from the

Hunter Biden laptop records that the [monetary value of that compromise involving multiple Chinese deals was at least \\$31 million](#), which is significant bribe money.

This is all critically important because this week the WHO's Intergovernmental Negotiating Body is meeting to hammer out the final terms of a new "pandemic treaty," the first draft of which was released February 1. Biden supported a similar agreement at the World Health Assembly in May of 2022, but it failed. Now, Biden appears determined to sign the United States to a "legally binding" accord with the WHO **that would give the United Nations health agency the authority to dictate America's policies during a pandemic—including approved treatments, government regulations such as lockdowns and vaccine mandates, global supply chains, and monitoring and surveillance of populations.**

What is important to understand is that this two-track effort of the WHO with their pandemic accord and the World Health Assembly with their global pandemic regulations result in the laws of member states getting superseded. This means that enforcement would likely entail a worldwide medical police state under the control of WHO, and its Director-General Tedros. So, just who is Tedros?

Tedros has the profile of a tyrant, having been a [member](#) of the violent, powerful and corrupt communist Ethiopian political party known as the Tigrayan People's Liberation Front ([TPLF](#)). He [rose](#) through Ethiopia's autocratic regime, first as health minister from 2005-2012, and then minister of foreign affairs from 2012 to 2016.

As Ethiopia's Minister of Foreign Affairs, Tedros had extensive dealing with members of the CCP in overseeing huge Chinese investments in Ethiopia, including a \$200 million office building project and eight industrial parks. During his tenure as foreign minister, Ethiopia reportedly borrowed more than [\\$13 billion](#) from China, which obligated Ethiopia to China and made the country a bridgehead of the Belt and Road Initiative to extend control and influence in Africa. The CCP employed methods of "elite capture" with Tedros, rewarding and grooming him for a successful election campaign for the office of Director General of the WHO in 2017.

What is troubling about this latest pandemic accord that the Biden administration helped plan is that it appears to have been crafted to circumvent the authority and role of the U.S. Senate—to provide advice and consent to treaties—by

making the accord effective and legally binding immediately upon each nation's signature, without being ratified by their respective legislatures.

Under the U.S. Constitution, health care does not fall under the authority of the federal government, but remains in the domain of the states under the 10th Amendment. When Biden signs this accord, that Constitutional protection may be lost.

This agreement calls for member states to implement “One Health” surveillance, which is so broad that it can include environmental, climate, or even agricultural emissions to be used in claims that CO2 or other emissions pose a health emergency. In addition, this accord opens the door to information and ideological corruption, which comes out of accord language providing for “design[ing] communications and messaging strategies for the public to counteract misinformation, disinformation and false news, thereby strengthening public trust.”

The specter of disaster for Americans seems obvious with a corrupt leader entering into an agreement with a corrupt institution. The majority of Americans are already at their limit with unaccountability from their own government. And remember Trump withdrew the U.S. from WHO, and Biden reversed that at the beginning of his administration.

The stakes are higher now, and citizens need to demand that this WHO pandemic accord be subject to the Constitutional constraints applied to all treaties. The tyrannical CCP and its highly controlled WHO must be denied any back door rule over the United States. **And if America leads, other nations will follow.**

World War III Has Already Begun

29 Oct 2020 Military.com | By Peter D. Zimmerman

Peter D. Zimmerman, a physicist, was chief scientist of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee during the anthrax attacks and chief scientist of the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. He is a fellow of the American Physical Society, a member of National Security Leaders for Biden and The Steady State.

World War III has started. You may not have noticed it's a war to the death, because this is combat without bombs or bullets. Our enemy is the SARS-Cov-2 virus, which causes COVID-19. It has exactly one purpose: conquering your cells and turning them into factories to make more viruses.

We are losing the war, badly. About 400,000 American soldiers died in the 43 months we fought in World War II; 203,000 Americans died in the first 7 months of World War III. Fact: Americans are being killed by this virus at a rate three times faster than our soldiers were killed by German and Japanese bullets.

For a few months it seemed as if we were winning, but the virus has opened new fronts and is colonizing new territories. A third wave is spreading across the United States. **Despite President Trump's optimism, there are no proven treatments, no "cures." There is no vaccine that provides real protection.**

We are, all of us, cannon fodder in this war, and it is time to recognize the fact. But we are not defenseless. Just as our troops don body armor before going into battle, we have physical protection. Just as our generals study strategy and tactics to defeat a human enemy, we know how to deploy our resources to end the Coronavirus reign of terror.

But first, let's suppose we got a vaccine by the end of the year. If it's like most vaccines, it will only be 50% to 80% effective. Even if you've had the jab, if you get exposed to the virus you could have the chance of a coin flip of getting infected.

Some people don't get very sick. My daughter caught the bug a few months ago, lost her sense of taste for two weeks, and felt poorly. And then she bounced back

to normal. Many victims go through a week or two of agony, short of breath, aching badly, running a high fever, but then get well. Some are sick for months. **And about 1% of all those who get sick, well, they die.**

There isn't enough Regeneron for everybody; the latest studies say Remdesivir doesn't help much, if at all.

Suppose you get a vaccine and trust it. Go into a crowd where there are sick people including some who don't know they're carriers. And you have a 1 in 200 chance of dying. I wouldn't cross the street if I thought there was a 1 in 200 chance it would put me in a coffin.

For now, we lack any biomedical defenses against COVID-19. A vaccine won't be magic armor. Vaccines don't always work; there is no way to know if your shot worked for you.

If a vaccine becomes available next month, when will you be able to get it? Not immediately. It could be months before there is enough for every American. It will probably take two shots, a few weeks apart, to give you a good chance of immunity. And it will take months upon months to line up everybody and get them protected. Probably not before June.

Related: [The Latest Rundown on the Military's Coronavirus Cases](#)

We do have, however, good physical defenses against COVID-19. They are a lot more certain to give protection even than a vaccine. Far into the future, physical protection will be important to crush this bug. **Herd immunity didn't work in Sweden, and anyway, getting there will cost 100,000 lives. [This has turned out to be factually wrong!]**

The hardest tool to use in epidemiology is quarantine. Stay home; lock down the economy; hold until there are no new cases. With a strict quarantine, this disease would have been crushed 150,000 lives ago. Quarantine works, but people don't like it. Even putting limits on public behavior is hard; I want to go to a restaurant, want to hug my daughter, and see my son. But staying home gives me a much better chance to avoid COVID and to keep them from getting it.

I also wear anti-virus body armor, just as my daughter, a noncombatant in Afghanistan had, to wear her armor. Mine is lighter, and cheaper; it's just a simple

surgical mask. In February when there weren't enough masks to protect our doctors and nurses, we were asked not to use scarce supplies. Doctors and nurses were at greater risk. But that was a long time ago; masks are widely available now.

A good mask will prevent anywhere from 50% to 90% of Covid infections. That's more protection than ceramic armor and a helmet give a soldier. If you wouldn't go on patrol in a war zone without your helmet, don't leave home without your antivirus gear. It's not a matter of courage or masculinity. It's good sense.

Protect yourself, and protect your family. Wear the damn mask!

-- The opinions expressed in this op-ed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of Military.com. [Find more information](#) on how to submit your own commentary.